

# **Earthquakes in the Lower Crust Under the Molasse Basin: Seismological Detection of Active Metamorphism?**

Jürgen Strehlau (Universität Kiel) & Stefan Stange (LGRB, Freiburg)





**Fig. 1**: Map of northern Alpine foreland showing seismic stations (red triangles) and relocated earthquakes (green circles: upper crustal foci; blue circles: lower crustal foci). Gradation in the background color indicates the deepening of the Moho toward the Alps. Some of the stations shown were closed or opened during the period of investigation.

#### Introduction

The lower crust is usually thought to deform by ductile flow under high temperatures. However, under the Molasse Basin in the northern Alpine foreland (Fig. 1) earthquakes occur not only in the upper crust, but also in the lower crust (e.g., Deichmann & Rybach, 1989). Since laboratory friction experiments under hydrothermal conditions (at constant fluid pressure) show aseismic stable sliding, it is enigmatic why the deep crust can become seismogenic. With the broad variety of observations (seismic profiles, xenoliths, geothermal data from oil exploration drillholes, etc.) available from the Molasse Basin, this region may be a key area for developing and testing new concepts of the relationship between earthquakes, stresses, temperatures, deformation, and metamorphism. The purpose of the present contribution is to synthesize seismological, petrological, and geothermal results into a self-consistent starting model.





Fig. 3: Cross-section (azimuth S30°E, roughly parallel to the strike of Lake Constance, cf. Fig. 1), showing distribution of hypocenters and the number of earthquakes with depth (inset; 3 km bins). Pink: upper mantle; grey line: Moho.

#### **Seismological Data**

We have relocated earthquakes in the Swiss-German-Austrian border region (extending from Lake Zürich across Lake Constance to the Iller river), using seismograms recorded by the seismic network of the State of Baden-Württemberg and by other German, Swiss, Austrian, and French stations (Fig. 1). Hypocenters can be determined with a relative accuracy of up to 3 km by including travel times of seismic waves reflected at the Moho (Fig. 2) and an approximate Moho topography based on seismic profiles (Fig. 3). The absolute precision of hypocenter locations is limited by the accuracy of the one-dimensional seismic velocity model (Fig. 5).



Fig. 2: Example of seismograms from the deep crustal earthquake at Feldkirch (southwesternmost epicenter in Fig. 1). Top panel: vertical component; middle and lower panels: horizontal components. Strong phases arriving about 0.5 sec after the P-wave and about 1 sec after the S-wave are interpreted as Moho reflections (PmP, SmS; wave trains possibly also contain converted phases, e.g. PmS and SmP).



#### **Depth Distribution of Earthquakes**

Except at the northwestern margin of the Molasse Basin, two distinct seismogenic depth intervals are resolved: one in the upper crust to 16 km, the other in the lower crust from 20 km to the Moho, separated by an apparently "aseismic" middle crust from 16-20 km (Fig. 3). The top edge of the lower zone tends to follow the Moho as it deepens toward the Alps. No deep crustal earthquakes have been located under the Alps. No systematic variation of magnitude with depth has been found.

#### Interpretation

The depth distribution is correlated with the average seismic velocity model used in the location procedure, and with a lithological structure deduced from xenoliths sampled in the Hegau volcanic province (Figs. 4 and 5). The P-wave velocity of the deep Molasse crust is relatively low (6-6.7 km/s), except for the thin crust-mantle transition zone. The xenoliths are dominantly metapelitic and granitic gneisses, whereas only few have been found with a mafic spinel-pyroxene granulitic composition (pyribolites). Consequently, the low seismic velocities and the relative proportion of mafic and felsic xenoliths exclude mafic granulites as a major component of the lower crust. The mafic granulites have accordingly been assigned to the crust-mantle transition zone. The lower crustal seismicity can therefore not be explained by a strong, mafic lower crust.

#### Seismic Velocity Model Seismicity Geotherm Hegau-Xenoliths



Fig. 6: Map of temperature isolines interpolated from distributed temperature measurements in boreholes in the Molasse Basin and the underlying basement at 5 km depth (gridded values courtesy of W. Rühaak, GGA Hannover). Temperature in the seismically active region (southwestern section of map) averages  $180 \pm 10^{\circ}C$ . Crosses indicate locations of deep drill holes with temperature measurements.



#### **Suggestions for Research**

- Seismology: Improved seismic velocity structure and earthquake locations
- Stress field models: 3-D flexure including variable orogenic loading/unloading
- Geothermics: Effects of sediment blanketing, uplift, erosion, fluid flow
- Hegau xenoliths: Geochemical analysis, age determination, physical properties



## Landesamt für Geologie, Rohstoffe und Bergbau

Deichmann & Glahn, Sachs & Achauer (1992) Rybach (1989)

**Thermal Modeling** 

Untersee).

Steady-state temperature estimates for the deep crust have been calculated using the commercial finite element software "ADINA System" (900

nodes version). Since geotherms depend on model parameters, temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and heat production are reasonably well constrained by the xenolith observations.

The results for a model structure with a dipping crust (cf. Fig. 3) show geotherms cutting across the Moho rather than following it (Fig. 7). This effect is caused by the lateral increase of total heat production due to greater crustal thickness. Moho temperature thus ranges from 640-740 °C.

From a systematic variation of the thermal parameters, temperatures at the seismic-aseismic transition for the deep crustal earthquakes are estimated to range from 570-800 °C (Fig. 8). Comparison with a metamorphic facies diagram indicates that the deep crustal earthquakes may occur at the amphibolite-granulite transition.

Seismogenic faulting may therefore be associated with active metamorphism, perhaps involving episodic hydration and/or dehydration reactions. However, many uncertainties and open questions Fig. 5: Correlation between seismic velocity model (blue line, dashed: alternative model), smoothed seismicity-depth distribution (red curve, from Gaussian summation of individual uncertainty ranges), average temperature estimates at corresponding depths under the Swiss part of the Molasse basin east of Lake Zürich (purple values), and lithological model column derived from the Hegau xenoliths.



Fig. 8: Pressure-temperature-depth diagram showing outlines of metamorphic facies domains and estimates of conditions at the seismicaseismic transition in two different regions. Box A: upper crustal earthquakes on the San Andreas fault system, California (Strehlau & Williams, 1998); box B: lower crustal earthquakes under the Molasse Basin (Deichmann & Rybach, 1989; this study).

Rock mechanics: Experiments under variable fluid pressure and composition

Rheology: Improved models, flow instabilities, reaction-enhanced embrittlement

Hydrochemistry: Carbon and helium isotope analysis of spring waters

Electromagnetic induction: Resistivity structure, to possibly resolve free fluids

Neotectonics: Active faults? Seismites in sediment cores from Lake Constance?

#### References

Deichmann, N. & L. Rybach (1989), Geophys. Monogr. 51 (IUGG Vol. 6), 197-213. Glahn, A., P.M. Sachs & U. Achauer (1992), PEPI 69, 176-206.

Strehlau, J. & C. Williams (1998): Mitteilungen der Deutschen Geophysikalischen Gesellschaft, Special Issue II/1998 "Heat Transport in the Crust", pp. 106-111.

Thin blue lines: Model structure according to Fig. 5.

Acknowledgments

advice, and encouragement:

Williams.

parallel to the profile shown in

Fig. 3; top edge at 5 km depth,

with laterally varying tempera-

ture values according to results

shown in Fig. 6. Right panel:

Geotherms; left panel: heat flow,

arrows indicate direction of heat

flow at individual finite elements.

Constant mantle heat flow of 20

 $mW/m^2$  assumed at lower edge.

remain. These could be addressed by a research effort integrating several different approaches.

We thank Nico Deichmann for his excellent cooperation. We also appreciate the

courtesy of the following individuals who provided unpublished data, results,

software, diagrams, reports, or manuscripts, and helped us with discussions,

B. Andeweg, J. Ansorge, K. Aric, H. Austrheim, M. Baer, A. Barthel, K.-P.

Bonjer, J. Braunmiller, W. Brüstle, J. Crocoll, K. Evans, T. H. Hansteen, B.

Kaelin, L. Kiraly, M. G. Lund, S. Mellert, W. H. Müller, Th. Plenefisch, P. Raase,

V. Rath, W. Rühaak, A. Rudloff, P. M. Sachs (deceased), E. Schmedes, G.

Schneider, J. Schuff, R. Schulz, U. Seipold, H.-G. Stosch, A. B. Watts, C.

### **Authors' Addresses**

#### Jürgen Strehlau

Institut für Geowissenschaften Christian-Albrechts-Universität 24098 Kiel, Germany strehlau@pclab.ifg.uni-kiel.de

Stefan Stange LGRB, Erdbebendienst Albertstraße 5 79104 Freiburg, Germany stange@lgrb.uni-freiburg.de

